

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/000,352	12/04/2001	Brian L. Dallman	87354.2900	9742
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP Washington Square, Suite 1100 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, HANH VAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

10/000,352

DALLMAN ET AL.

Examiner

Hanh V. Tran

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

3637

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination	tion (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [che	eck either a) or b)]
a) 🔯 Th	The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final re	ection.
ev Ol	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Actior event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MOI ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WIT 706.07(f).	NTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. HIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extension have been file 37 CFR 1.17((b) above, if co	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the 7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory pf checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under eriod for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A N	Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief models of CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(ust be filed within the period set forth in d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The	ne proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) 🗌	they raise new issues that would require further consider	leration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) 🖾	they are not deemed to place the application in better issues for appeal; and/or	form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) 🗌	they present additional claims without canceling a co	responding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow anceling the non-allowable claim(s).	vable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. The	ne a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \square request for recons pplication in condition for allowance because:	ideration has been considered but does NOT place the
rais	ne affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it aised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7.⊠ For exp	or purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) $oxtime $ xplanation of how the new or amended claims would be	will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an rejected is provided below or appended.
The	he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Cla	Claim(s) allowed:	
Cla	Claim(s) objected to:	
Cla	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 11-17</u> .	
Cla	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 18-22.	
8. The	he drawing correction filed on is a) \square approved o	r b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Not	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(P	ГО-1449) Paper No(s)
10.⊠ Otl	Other: See Continuation Sheet	LANNA MAI
	NIDEO.	VISORY PATENT EXAMINER
411		HNOLOGY CENTER 3600

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office / PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE:

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's remarks in the After-Final Amendment regarding Specification, Drawings, and 112(2) have been considered; however, they are not pursuasive. More specifically, although the Specification and the Drawings clearly disclosed the first embodiment of Figs 1-2, and the second embodiment of Figs 5-6, this does not mean the claimed limitation at issue found supported in the Specification and the Drawings. Further, this does not mean the claimed limitation is definite.